

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/399,192	09/17/1999	JOHN WANKMUELLER	AP31994-0704	1972
75	90 03/10/2006		EXAM	INER
BAKER & BOTTS LLP 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			BACKER, FIRMIN	
NEW YORK, NY 101120228			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3621	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/399,192	WANKMUELLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FIRMN BACKER	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ehruany 2006					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
,— —		osecution as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,17-29,33-45,49 and 50</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13, 17-29, 33-45, 49 and 50</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/399,192

Art Unit: 3621

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

Page 2

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 6<sup>th</sup>, 2006 has been entered.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 17-29, 33-45, 49 and 50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13, 17-29, 33-45, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bush et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,263,162) in view of Scott et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,484,260).

Application/Control Number: 09/399,192 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

Re claim 1: Bush et al discloses a method for generating identification data (deriving CGPIN), comprising providing (read) an ATM PIN (pin code) related to a first transaction type; and generating (derive) a non ATM electronic commerce PIN (CGPIN) on a central computer by performing a cryptographic operation on the ATM PIN for second transaction which is a non ATM transaction (see abstract, 5-7, column 3 lines 16-45, 4 lines 42-5 line 15). Bush et al fail to teach a system for transmitting a non-ATM PIN to be entered by a user in a transaction.

However, Scott et al teach an inventive concept where a non-electronic commerce PIN is to be entered by the user (see column 11 line 45+12 line 6)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the inventive concept of Bush et al to include Scott et al's system for transmitting a non-ATM PIN to be entered by a user in a transaction because this would have provided a user access to electronic financial transactions, rather than restricting electronic transactions only to those with credit cards. A user can complete a variety of transactions electronically. For example, purchase of airline tickets could now be accomplished without the use of a credit card.

Re claim 2: Bush et al further discloses that the step of performing a cryptographic operation comprises providing a conversion key (pseudo-random number) and using the conversion key to perform said cryptographic operation upon an ATM PIN (see column 2 lines 21-42).

Re claim 3: Bush et al further discloses that the step of providing a conversion key comprises: providing conversion key derivation data (i.e., PAN) providing a conversion key derivation key and performing the cryptographic operation upon the conversion key derivation data and the conversion key derivation key (see column 2 lines 21-42).

Application/Control Number: 09/399,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

Re claim 4: Bush et al further discloses that the step of performing a cryptographic operation upon the conversion key derivation data and the conversion key derivation key comprises using the conversion key derivation key to perform at least one cryptographic operation upon the conversion key derivation data (see column 2 lines 21-42).

Re claim 5: Bush et al further discloses that the conversion key derivation data includes an identification number that is associated with multiple accounts, and wherein at least one cryptographic operation using a secret key is performed to cryptographically process said conversion key derivation data to produce the conversion key (see column 2 lines 21-42).

Re claim 6: Bush further discloses that the step of performing a cryptographic operation comprises: providing cryptographically-computed data and performing an operation upon an ATM PIN and the cryptographically-computed data (see abstract, 5-7, column 3 lines 16-45, 4 lines 42-5 line 15).

Re claim 7: Bush further discloses that the step of providing cryptographically-computed data comprises: providing initial data and performing at least one cryptographic operation using a secret key upon the initial data thereby producing the cryptographically-computed data (see column 2 lines 21-42).

Re claim 8: Bush disclose that the at least one cryptographic operation using a secret key comprises at least one of a DES-encryption and a DES-decryption (see abstract, 5-7, column 3 lines 16-45, 4 lines 42-5 line 15).

Re claim 9: Bush further discloses that least a portion of the initial data is obtained from at least a portion of an account number (see column 2 lines 21-42)

Application/Control Number: 09/399,192 Page 5

Art Unit: 3621

Re claims 10 and 13: Bush disclose that the operation upon an ATM PIN and the cryptographically-computed data comprises either a subtraction operation or an addition operation (see abstract, 5-7, column 3 lines 16-45, 4 lines 42-5 line 15)

Re claim 11 and 12: Bush further discloses that the step of providing cryptographically computed data further comprises generating a cryptographically-computed number having a base corresponding to a base of a number representing the first set of identification data, wherein said cryptographically-computed number has a number of digits corresponding to a number of digits of said number representing an ATM PIN (see column 2 lines 21-42).

Re claims 17-29, 33-45, 49 and 50: The claimed system would have been inherent toperform the method disclosed by Bush et al as stated above. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FIRMN BACKER whose telephone number is 571-272-6703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/399,192

Art Unit: 3621

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FIRMN BACKER
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3621

March 2, 2006